October 2007

Improvement Association Mississippi Beef Cattle Improvement Association—Productivity and Quality BCIA to Market Quality Bulls on November 8

BCIA Fall Bull Sale Information

Thursday, November 8, 2007 12:00 Noon Hinds Community College Bull Sale Facility Raymond, Mississippi

Mississippi Beef Cattle

Interactive video bidding sites Panola County Extension office, Batesville North MS R&E Center, Verona

> Angus · Brangus · Charolais Hereford · Balancer

Mississippi BCIA is once again proud to offer a tremendous set of performance backed bulls in our 2007 Fall Bull Sale. All bulls are screened for structural problems, disposition, and performance and are guaranteed as breeders. These bulls have passed breeding soundness examinations and met minimum growth and scrotal circumference requirements as well.

Bulls will be available for viewing starting on the afternoon of November 7. For more information or to request a catalog, contact Jane Parish at (662) 325-7466 or go to http:// msucares.com/livestock/beef/mbcia/ bcia_bullsale.html. Catalogs will be available in mid-October. The objective of the Mississippi BCIA Bull Sale program is to encourage production and identification of genetically superior bulls by purebred breeders and to encourage the purchase and use of these bulls by commercial producers.

BCIA 2007 Fall Bull Sale Consignors

- ► Carson Farms
- ► Ingram Cattle Co., Inc.
- ► Jones Angus Ranch
- ► Kiani Angus
- Loveless Homeplace Angus
- Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station
- ► Monogram Farms
- Sedgewood Plantation
- ► Smith Farms
- Smith Gelbvieh Farms
- Thames Angus Farm
- Unity Creek Farm
- Wes Parker Angus
- Woods Angus



Spring 2008 Bull Sale Nomination Information Now Online

Mississippi BCIA 2008 Spring Bull Sale

Nomination Forms and Rules Website: msucares.com/livestock/beef/mbcia/bcia_bullsale.html

Nomination Deadline: Thursday, January 10, 2008 Sale Date:

Thursday, March 6, 2008

Make plans now for the Spring 2008 Sale



Upcoming events:

- October 23—Hinds Community College Bull Test Begins, Raymond, MS
- October 25-27—MSU Artificial Insemination School, Mississippi State, MS
- October 30–Gulf Coast Beef Education Alliance, Beef Nutrition Series - Commodity Feeds in Detail, 6:00 P.M. to 8:00 P.M., distance education sites throughout MS, AL, LA and FL
- 2007 Mississippi BCIA Fall Bull Sale, 12:00 noon, Hinds Community College Bull Sale Facility, Raymond, MS
- November 27–Gulf Coast Beef Education Alliance, Beef Nutrition Series - Bull and Heifer Development and Computer Decision Tools, 6:00 P.M. to 8:00 P.M., distance education sites throughout MS, AL, LA and FL

Inside this issue:

| MS Disease and Disaster Preparedness Progress | 2 |
|--|---|
| Replacement Heifer Selection | 2 |
| MBCIA Fall Bull Sale Offering | 3 |
| MBCIA Membership Application | 4 |
| BCIA Genetic Profit Tips | 4 |
| | |



Mississippi Progress on Disease and Disaster Preparedness

The Mississippi Animal Disease and Disaster Preparedness Program is designed to make relief and recovery from disease outbreak or natural disaster more efficient for livestock producers in Mississippi. While the concept is sound and registration is free, initial enrollment in the program lagged. Earlier this year, a challenge was issued to stimulate increased participation. Portable livestock scales were awarded to two counties; one each to the county with the largest numerical and percentage increase in enrollment from September 2006 to September 2007.

Top ten counties for numerical increase:

- 1. Harrison
- 2. Hinds
- 3. Rankin
- 4. Forrest
- 5. Madison
- 6. Lee
- 7. Jackson
- 8. Jones
- 9. DeSoto
- 10. Marion

Top ten counties for percentage increase:

- 1. DeSoto
- 2. Harrison
- 3. Hancock
- 4. Bolivar

- 5. Lauderdale
- 6. Webster
- 7. Leflore
- 8. Adams
- 9. Forrest
- 10. Choctaw

Overall state increases in enrollment for the one year period exceeded expectations (from **981** to **2,148**). However, this still only represents about **6%** of the livestock operations in Mississippi. This is a good start, but for the program to be successful in safeguarding the state's livestock and livestock producers in the event of a manmade or natural disaster, more cooperation is required. Please consider enrolling in the program for the sake of your family, your community, and your state.

Harrison and DeSoto counties received their TruTest portable livestock scales last month. These scales are designed to fit in the bottom of an alley, are lightweight, and are easy to hook-up and use. So, please make use of them to help make management decisions.

For more information on how to enroll and to obtain an enrollment form, contact your local extension office, area livestock agent, state livestock specialist, or the state board of animal health.

Replacement Heifer Selection at Weaning

Now is the time to consider selecting replacement females from the weaned calf crop. One of the first decisions to be made is whether it will be more economical to develop replacement heifers or to sell all the weaned heifers and buy bred heifers or cows before the next calving season. If only a few replacements are needed and there are limited resources for the cow herd, it may be more beneficial to consider custom development or purchasing bred females. Additionally, if terminal type sires were used, it would be a wise decision to sell the heifers from those sires and keep or buy more maternal females.

Other important considerations in replacement female selection are age, weight, and frame size. It is often more beneficial to select the oldest and heaviest heifers for replacements as they will be more likely to reach the desired weight and go through puberty before the breeding season begins. However, only selecting the largest heifers can increase the mature cow herd size. Care should be taken to retain the females that will adjust the cow size up or down as desired.

Performance records (and EPDs if available) from the dams and sires of these heifers should also be used in selection. Consider retaining heifers from cows that have weaned the most pounds of calf with the least input expense. This is yet another example of how keeping accurate records can result in less expense and more profit. Feet and leg structure should also weigh heavy in selection criteria as these heifers will be expected to remain a productive part of the cow herd for many years.

Finally, remember to keep about 50% more heifers than will be required for replacements. This will leave room to cull at breeding, pregnancy check, and at calving. Look for more information on heifer development in the coming issues of this newsletter, or contact the Mississippi State University Extension Service for more detailed selection criteria and a plan for development to breeding.

Mississippi BCIA 2007 Fall Bull Sale Thursday, November 8, 2007, 12:00 Noon, Hinds CC, Raymond, MS



Carson Farms

Wes Parker Angus

Smith Farms

.

. Loveless Homeplace Angus

.

- Ingram Cattle Co., Inc. MAFES .
 - Smith Gelbvieh Farms Woods Angus
- Jones Angus Ranch .
- Monogram Farms Thames Angus Farm .
- Kiani Angus .
- Sedgewood Plantation Unity Creek Farm .

| Lot | Birth Date Bull Name | Reg. # | Sire | BW | Adj | ww | Adj | YW | | EP | Ds | |
|-----|---|-------------|----------------------------|----|-----------------|-------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| 201 | | itogi ii | | 2 | ww | Ratio | YW | Ratio | BW | WW | YW | MILK |
| | | | ANGUS | | · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2/26/2006 LHA IDEAL 337S of 2B23 | 15448347 | Rito 2B23 of Rita 9319 BW | 99 | 766 | 105 | 1185 | 111000 | 5.5 | 53 | 93 | 23 |
| 2 | 9/30/2005 Monogram Traveler 8005 | 15384134 | S A V 8180 Traveler 004 | 86 | 701 | 110 | 1170 | 106 | 5.2 | 51 | 93 | 20 |
| 3 | 11/2/2005 Monogram Appeal 5645 | 15281663 | Monogram Appeal 5683 | 80 | 629 | 99 | 1119 | 100 | 4.2 | 44 | 83 | 17 |
| 4 | 2/18/2006 Monogram Smoker 2026 | 15438112 | Monogram Gunsmoke 5682 | 90 | 680 | 107 | 1269 | 105 | 3.2 | 45 | 84 | 18 |
| 5 | 1/8/2006 Monogram 568 Appeal 2106 | 15438113 | Monogram Appeal 5683 | 76 | 726 | 114 | 1257 | 104 | 2.4 | 44 | 80 | 19 |
| 6 | 2/5/2006 Monogram 522 Appeal 2296 | 15438115 | Monogram Appeal 5221 | 94 | 732 | 115 | 1319 | 109 | 5.4 | 49 | 87 | 14 |
| 7 | 11/16/2005 Monogram Appeal 5085 | 15381658 | Monogram Appeal 5683 | 80 | 617 | 97 | 1047 | 100 | 3.4 | 38 | 79 | 15 |
| 8 | 10/14/2006 KA Performer 7556 | 15742530 | Rito 2V1 of 2536 1407 | 78 | 831 | 110 | 1489 | 100 | 3.7 | 57 | 98 | 20 |
| 9 | 1/1/2006 KA Old School 3686 | 15365793 | KA Equator 7553 | 88 | 850 | 114 | 1430 | 100 | 6.1 | 50 | 81 | 18 |
| 10 | 9/5/2005 Ingrams R Time 338 R398 | 15283487 | Hyline Right Time 338 | 84 | 707 | 108 | 1432 | 105 | 5.9 | 53 | 88 | 24 |
| 11 | 9/7/2005 Ingrams Rito 1C1 R405 | 15283510 | Rito 1C1 of 9F30 65D | 71 | 668 | 102 | 1415 | 104 | 2.4 | 45 | 84 | 24 |
| 12 | 9/8/2005 Ingrams Rito 025 R418 | 15283529 | Rito 025 of 7J20 RDA | 78 | 720 | 110 | 1280 | 94 | 2.7 | 46 | 77 | 22 |
| 13 | 9/12/2005 Ingrams R Time 338 R442 | 15283558 | Hyline Right Time 338 | 78 | 714 | 109 | 1370 | 100 | 3.6 | 54 | 90 | 28 |
| 14 | 10/3/2005 Ingrams Rito 2B98 R521 | 15283602 | Rito 2B98 of Rita 6K20 BW | 78 | 609 | 93 | 1319 | 97 | 3.7 | 42 | 71 | 26 |
| 15 | 10/13/2005 Ingrams Rito 1C1 R541 | 15283624 | Rito 1C1 of 9F30 65D | 72 | 676 | 103 | 1297 | 95 | 3.0 | 48 | 90 | 23 |
| 16 | 9/5/2006 MSU New Design S166 | +15595317 | Bon View New Design 1407 | 79 | 638 | 100 | 1152 | 99 | 1.8 | 45 | 81 | 26 |
| 17 | 9/11/2006 MSU New Design S182 | +15595324 | Bon View New Design 1407 | 76 | 693 | 108 | 1283 | 110 | 1.2 | 39 | 83 | 25 |
| 21 | 11/11/2005 TAF Destination 210B | 15396051 | B/R Destination 727-928 | 65 | 741 | 122 | 1077 | 116 | 4.2 | 45 | 84 | 24 |
| 22 | 12/3/2005 TAF Destination 505A | 15296056 | B/R Destination 727-928 | 69 | 583 | 96 | 959 | 100 | 2.3 | 44 | 89 | 21 |
| 23 | 10/3/2005 TAF New Design 9150 6375 | 15721382 | B/R New Design 323-9150 | 63 | 570 | 98 | 944 | 100 | -1.1 | 30 | 62 | 28 |
| 24 | 10/20/2005 TAF Authority 6385 | 15647337 | G A R 65R Authority | 71 | 570 | 101 | 948 | 101 | 1.8 | 44 | 82 | 27 |
| 25 | 11/3/2005 W A Duration 2571 | 15399552 | Grandview Womack Duration | 78 | 718 | 105 | 1214 | 110 | 3.0 | 33 | 65 | 14 |
| 26 | 11/4/2005 W A Tradition 2579 | +15399534 | Sitz Tradition RLS 8702 | 75 | 711 | 101 | 1161 | 98 | 4.5 | 52 | 89 | 22 |
| 27 | 10/4/2005 W A New Design 2561 | 15399556 | W A New Design 2290 | 79 | 708 | 104 | 1106 | 100 | 3.9 | 41 | 78 | 18 |
| 28 | 9/30/2005 W A New Design 2563 | 15399548 | W A New Design 2290 | 76 | 759 | 111 | 1100 | 99 | 3.4 | 44 | 77 | 21 |
| 29 | 11/6/2005 W A Tradition 2590 | 15359335 | Sitz Tradition RLS 8702 | 74 | 736 | 104 | 1288 | 108 | 4.3 | 54 | 97 | 22 |
| 30 | 9/30/2005 Sedgewood War Alliance L206 | 15374773 | WAR Alliance 9126 6006 | 75 | 746 | 111 | 114 | 100 | 2.7 | 59 | 104 | 34 |
| 31 | 10/1/2005 Sedgewood Advantage L208 | 15379467 | TC Advantage | 60 | 680 | 101 | 1144 | 106 | 0.2 | 41 | 82 | 26 |
| 32 | 10/18/2005 Sedgewood Advantage L236 | 15374775 | TC Advantage | 71 | 674 | 100 | 1154 | 107 | 2.0 | 38 | 81 | 23 |
| 34 | 9/19/2006 J A R Traveler 004 H78 | 15705216 | S A V 8180 Traveler 004 | 73 | 814 | 108 | 1206 | 106 | 4.1 | 54 | 88 | 14 |
| 35 | 9/29/2006 J A R Expectation HE18 | 15705226 | G A R Expectation 4915 | 65 | 826 | 109 | 1221 | 107 | 3.2 | 49 | 81 | 22 |
| 36 | 9/2/2005 Carson Precision 528 | 15416897 | G A R Precision 1680 | 77 | 589 | 100 | 1058 | 101 | 2.7 | 31 | 66 | 22 |
| 37 | 9/7/2005 Carson EXT 532 | 15428957 | N Bar Emulation EXT | 70 | 561 | 95 | 956 | 91 | 2.2 | 37 | 64 | 19 |
| 38 | 10/9/2005 Carson New Design 565 | 15428977 | Bon View New Design 1407 | 78 | 569 | 96 | 1039 | 99 | 1.5 | 35 | 69 | 29 |
| 39 | 10/23/2005 Carson 8180 Sitz Trav 571 | 15428978 | Sitz Traveler 8180 | 81 | 559 | 95 | 1052 | 100 | 2.8 | 32 | 65 | 21 |
| 44 | 9/1/2006 WP 6E95 of EXT DSIGN E95 2K6 | 15544707 | Rito 2K6 of 2536 Rito 3X25 | 70 | 567 | 107 | 989 | 110 | 2.8 | 44 | 83 | 28 |
| 45 | 10/21/2006 Parker Frontier 095-68 | 15492750 | B/R New Frontier 095 | 60 | 738 | 98 | 972 | 92 | 1.6 | 41 | 77 | 25 |
| | | | BRANGUS | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | 9/12/2005 HB MR WATASH 36/6R | R10041078 | MC WATASH 98L24 | 64 | 627 | 102 | 1192 | 100 | 1.4 | 16.9 | 31.2 | 12.9 |
| 41 | 4/9/2006 HB MR LEAD GUN 295S | R10049452 | LEAD GUN OF BRINKS 222K14 | | | | | | 1.3 | | | |
| 42 | 4/17/2006 HB MR LEAD GUN 99S2 | R10050271 | MR 4C 936N | | | | | 115 | | 47.4 | | |
| 43 | 4/28/2006 HB MR LEAD GUN 99S3 | R10050273 | MR 4C 936N | 67 | 619 | | 1132 | | | 39.7 | | |
| | | | CHAROLAIS | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 1/16/2006 MSU Southern Spur S025 | M714871 | LT Western Spur 2061 PLD | 98 | 757 | 113 | 1299 | 107 | 0.3 | 28 | 44 | 4 |
| 19 | 1/20/2006 MSU Southern Spur S035 | M714872 | LT Western Spur 2061 PLD | 96 | 697 | | 1204 | | -0.6 | | 1000 | 6 |
| 10 | and a second of the second of | | HEREFORD | 30 | 201 | | | | 0.0 | | | |
| 33 | 3/14/2005 D L1 DOMINO 505 | 42725148 | DH L1 DOMINO 207 | 85 | 600 | 106 | 1100 | 109 | 3.1 | 33 | 67 | 23 |
| 55 | STREEDED ET DOMINO 303 | 72720140 | BALANCER | 00 | 000 | 100 | 1100 | 100 | 0.1 | 33 | 01 | 2.5 |
| 20 | 3/17/2006 MRGF 2S | AMGV1001069 | | 74 | 625 | 100 | 998 | 100 | 0.6 | 38 | 75 | 21 |
| 20 | | 7 100 1003 | UCCU EVEEN | 14 | 023 | 100 | 550 | 100 | 0.0 | 50 | 15 | 41 |

| MBCIA Membership Application | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Name: | | | | | | |
| Address: | | | | | | |
| City: | | | | | | |
| County: State: Zip: | | | | | | |
| Phone: Email: | | | | | | |
| (Check one) Seedstock: Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Cattle breed(s): | | | | | | |
| Completed applications and \$5 annual dues payable to Mississippi BCIA should be mailed to: | | | | | | |
| Mississippi Beef Cattle Improvement Association Jane Parish, Extension Beef Specialist Box 9815, Mississippi State, MS 39762 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

BCIA Genetic Profit Tips – October 2007

Performance Tradeoffs

Beef producers are constantly challenged by the question of how much relative emphasis to put on each trait in a selection program. Selection for several traits at a time will slow progress for each individual trait but is the most efficient way to improve a complex breeding objective. To make the problem more difficult, some traits are genetically antagonistic to one another. If one trait is improved, then another may deteriorate. Notable genetic antagonisms include:

1. Milk production and body weight versus maintenance requirements. Selection for increased productivity through increased milk production or growth rate results in increased proportions of metabolically active tissues that must be maintained. This requires additional feed energy. Thus, gains from selection for additional productivity must be more than sufficient to offset the correlated increases in feed cost.

2. Growth rate versus calving ease. Selection for increased growth rate generally results in increased size at all ages, including birth. Particularly in temperate regions, birth weight is a major determinant of calving ease. Thus, selection for increased growth rate may also result in deterioration of calving ease.

3. Lean yield versus carcass quality. Improvement in lean yield, as indicated by USDA Yield Grade, results from reducing waste fat in the carcass. However, USDA Quality Grade is improved through increasing intramuscular fat deposition. Selection for reduced fat deposition will improve carcass value by increasing lean yield but may simultaneously reduce marbling.

4. Leanness versus fertility. Increased carcass leanness is desired in many situations. However, daughters of sires selected for reduced fat trim of steer progeny may reach puberty later, require more services per conception, and have a longer first gestation, resulting in a heavier calf at birth being born with greater difficulty.

It is important to note that these genetic antagonisms are not absolute. It is possible, for example, to identify sires with desirable genetic merit for both carcass quality and lean yield. However, identifying sires with favorable EPDs for genetically antagonistic traits will be challenging to the breeder.

Selection for more than one trait at a time is optimally implemented using selection index methods. When EPDs are available for all economically relevant traits, calculating the sum of the products of EPDs weighted by their relative economic values provides a single straightforward criterion for evaluating candidates for selection.

Source: Beef Improvement Federation. 2002. Guidelines for Uniform Beef Improvement Programs, 8th ed.