

Fiber in Beef Cattle Diets

While energy and protein are often the major focus of many beef cattle nutritional programs, fiber is another essential diet component beef cattle producers need to consider. Fiber type, quality, and length impact cattle health and productivity. Fiber is typically coarse and less dense than other feedstuff components, and the positive effects of feedstuffs on rumen health are related to their fiber content.

When Mississippi beef cattle operations experience hay shortages, producers must consider alternative fiber (roughage) sources for cattle nutrition programs. Effective fiber levels are typically not a concern in beef cattle on high-forage diets, such as when grazing with sufficient available forage or with free-choice hay supplementation. However, in periods of hay and grazing shortages, effective use of fiber substitutes in beef cattle diets becomes critical.

Ruminant livestock (cattle, goats, and sheep) can use large amounts of forage with high fiber content. Fiber can be defined as carbohydrates not digested by mammalian enzymes but digestible by rumen microorganisms. Fiber includes cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and soluble fiber (fructans, pectans, galactans, and beta-glucans). Most fiber in plant material is found in the structural components of cell walls.


In the rumen, fiber-digesting bacteria digest structural carbohydrates, while starch-digesting bacteria digest nonstructural carbohydrates. In general, the starch digesters tolerate low pH levels, but the fiber digesters are inhibited by low pH. If the goal is to maximize forage intake and

digestibility, it may be counterproductive to add grain (corn, wheat, etc.) to the diet beyond a threshold of about 0.5 percent of body weight daily because of reduced rumen pH effects. A supplement with low levels of starch and highly digestible fiber (soybean hulls, corn gluten feed, dried distillers grains) is more appropriate to maintain forage intake, digestibility, and rumen pH. Rumen pH can also be kept from dropping too low by buffers secreted in the animal's saliva. Salivary flow is primarily stimulated during rumination (cud chewing) by effective fiber.


Beef cattle diets lacking adequate fiber can damage the rumen wall. The effectiveness of fiber for supporting rumen health is positively related to the particle size of the fiber and is often referred to as effective fiber. A high level of fiber in the diet does not always mean the fiber is effective. If the fiber is chopped or ground too short or fine, it may not promote rumen health.

A minimum fiber length of ¼ inch can be adequate for chopping dry hay where the fiber source comprises a majority of the diet and the nutrition management level is high, but a fiber chop length of ½ inch is recommended for most production systems. Fiber chop length should be at least ½ inch when the effective fiber source makes up less than 25 percent of the diet.

Longer fiber helps form the rumen mat that is essential for proper rumen function and nutrient digestion. Just because a feedstuff contains high fiber levels does not mean it is in the form of effective fiber that promotes rumen health.



Rumen changes in response to decreased fiber intake. Adapted from Trenkle, 2002.



Relationship between neutral detergent fiber and dry matter intake and between acid detergent fiber and digestibility.
Adapted from Ball et al. (2007).

A good example of this is soybean hull pellets. Soybean hulls are high in digestible fiber but have a small particle size and are relatively low in effective fiber levels. Effective fiber supplementation improves the performance of cattle fed soybean hull pellets. Therefore, do not use only soybean hull pellets as an exclusive fiber source to replace forages. Finely ground fiber passes through the digestive system rapidly and does not meet the effective fiber needs of cattle.

NDF and ADF

Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) is commonly noted on forage test results. It refers to fiber that is insoluble in neutral detergent and includes cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. Neutral detergent fiber represents all plant cell wall material, is only partly digestible by animals, and is negatively correlated with dry matter intake. As NDF increases in the diet, dry matter intake decreases. Likewise, acid detergent fiber (ADF), the portion of fiber that is insoluble in acid detergent (cellulose and lignin), is negatively correlated with digestibility. Acid detergent fiber is composed of highly indigestible plant material, generally only the lignified or otherwise undigestible portions of plant cell walls. Generally, as ADF increases, forages or feeds become less digestible.

Table 1. Forage quality standard classifications based on neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber values.

Forage quality standard	Neutral detergent fiber, dry matter basis	Acid detergent fiber, dry matter basis
Prime	less than 40%	less than 31%
1	40 to 46%	31 to 35%
2	47 to 53%	36 to 40%
3	54 to 60%	41 to 42%
4	61 to 65%	43 to 45%
5	greater than 65%	greater than 45%

Adapted from Ball et al. (2007).

Forage quality standards in relation to NDF and ADF values appear in Table 1. Fiber values are often used in calculations to compute total digestible nutrients (TDN), a commonly used energy value for assessing forages and other feedstuffs for use in beef cattle diets. Table 2 lists estimated NDF and ADF values for selected forage crops grown in Mississippi. This table shows that legumes tend to have lower NDF and ADF values than grasses, and increasing forage maturity raises NDF and ADF values.

Table 2. Estimated neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber content of selected forage crops.

Alfalfa	Neutral detergent fiber, dry matter basis	Acid detergent fiber, dry matter basis
Bud stage	38 to 47%	28 to 32%
Early flower stage	42 to 50%	32 to 36%
Mid bloom stage	46 to 55%	36 to 40%
Full bloom stage	56 to 60%	41 to 43%

Annual ryegrass	Neutral detergent fiber, dry matter basis	Acid detergent fiber, dry matter basis
Vegetative to boot stage	47 to 53%	27 to 33%
Boot to head stage	53 to 59%	33 to 39%

Bermudagrass	Neutral detergent fiber, dry matter basis	Acid detergent fiber, dry matter basis
4 week old	63 to 68%	33 to 38%
8 week old	70 to 75%	40 to 45%

Corn silage	Neutral detergent fiber, dry matter basis	Acid detergent fiber, dry matter basis
Well-eared	48 to 58%	23 to 30%
Fair- to poor-eared	58 to 67%	30 to 39%

Red clover	Neutral detergent fiber, dry matter basis	Acid detergent fiber, dry matter basis
Early flower	38 to 42%	28 to 32%
Late flower	42 to 50%	32 to 38%

Tall fescue	Neutral detergent fiber, dry matter basis	Acid detergent fiber, dry matter basis
Vegetative to boot stage	50 to 56%	30 to 36%
Boot to head stage	56 to 62%	36 to 42%

Effective Fiber

Effective fiber is expressed as effective NDF (eNDF). Effective NDF refers to the percentage of the NDF that effectively stimulates chewing and salivation, rumination, and rumen motility. Longer forage fiber lengths stimulate cud chewing (rumination) and saliva production. Saliva serves as a buffer in the rumen and helps stabilize rumen pH at levels beneficial to rumen function.

The importance of stimulating salivary flow to buffer rumen pH is well documented. Dietary levels of eNDF impact rumen pH and are often used to predict rumen pH for feeding formulations. Sufficient eNDF is important in beef cattle diets to keep rumen pH from dropping below acceptable levels to maintain feed intake. Diets high in grains (high-starch diets) often reduce rumen pH. However, highly digestible feeds high in pectins (soybean hulls, beet pulp, etc.) do not reduce pH as dramatically as many grains.

High-energy (e.g., finishing) diets require 8 percent eNDF, the concentration necessary to keep rumen pH above 5.7. Rumen pH below 5.7 dramatically reduces dry matter intake in cattle. If cattle gorge on high-starch feeds or there is a lack of effective fiber in the diet (leading to inadequate saliva secretion to buffer the rumen), rumen pH can remain low, and intake may drop off at the next feeding. Low pH levels for extended periods of time can shift the rumen microbial population in favor of bacteria that produce high levels of lactic acid, leading to acute acidosis. Cattle changing from high roughage to high concentrate diets need several weeks of gradual diet adjustment to allow shifts to rumen microbe

Table 3. Estimated effective neutral detergent fiber (eNDF) requirements for beef cattle adjusted to high-grain diets.

Diet type	Minimum eNDF required, % of dry matter
High concentrate to maximize gain/feed, mixed diet, good bunk management, and ionophores	5 to 8*
Mixed diet, variable bunk management, or no ionophore	20
High concentrate to maximize non-fiber carbohydrate use and microbial protein yield	20**

*To keep rumen pH more than 5.6 to 5.7, the threshold below which cattle stop eating.

**To keep rumen pH above 6.2, maximizing cell wall digestion and/or microbial protein yield.

Adapted from NRC (2000).

populations that digest high starch levels without dropping rumen pH below 5.6.

Feedyards typically feed minimal amounts of roughage because finishing cattle are more efficient when fed high-grain diets. One of the major benefits of roughage in high-grain diets for finishing cattle may be as rumen fill that helps control grain intake and reduces incidence of liver abscesses. Increasing eNDF levels in finishing diets can reduce the level of management needed to maintain cattle digestive health. However, problems such as acidosis associated with feeding high-grain diets can be controlled with good bunk management.

Bunk management can control wide variations in rumen pH and can reduce eNDF requirements to a minimum of 5 percent of dietary dry matter. Ionophores (monensin and lasalocid) added to the feed or mineral supplement can also reduce the quantity of feed that cattle consume at one meal and result in less rumen pH fluctuation. Under low rumen pH conditions (pH < 6), little energy is derived from fiber,

Table 4. Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and effective neutral detergent fiber (eNDF) values of common beef cattle feeds.

Feed	NDF, % of dry matter	eNDF, % of NDF ¹
Cottonseed hulls	90.0	100
Whole cottonseed	51.6	100
Bahiagrass hay	72.0	98
Bermudagrass, late vegetative	76.6	98
Tall fescue, mature	70.0	98
Mature corn silage, normal chop	41.0	71
Mature corn silage, fine chop	41.0	61
Corn gluten feed	36.2	36
Cottonseed meal	28.0	36
Corn, dried grain	9.0	60
Corn, cracked	10.8	30
Soybean meal	7.8	23
Hominy feed	23.0	9
Distillers grains with solubles	46.0	4
Soybean hulls	66.3	2
Wheat middlings	35.0	2

¹Equals the proportion of NDF that is effective in stimulating rumination and is defined as the percent remaining on a 1.18 mm screen after dry sieving.

Adapted from NRC (2000).

and microbial protein yield is reduced by at least one-third. Depending on feeding management, as much as 25 percent eNDF may be needed to maintain adequate pH for maximum forage digestion and microbial growth. Effective NDF levels that are too low can result in high passage rates and lower net energy values. Effective fiber levels can be increased by coarse-chopping instead of fine-chopping forages or by adding feeds with more effective fiber.

The eNDF in a feed or forage varies with the size of feed particles. Larger feed or forage particles are more effective at causing abrasive actions in the rumen, often referred to as “scratch factor.” The effective NDF level drops from 98 percent of NDF with long grasses to 73 percent of NDF with grasses less than ¼ inch long. In legumes, eNDF levels drop from 92 percent of NDF with long legumes to 67 percent of NDF with legumes less than ¼ inch in length. Therefore, feeding recommendations often specify a minimum forage staple length to ensure good rumen health. Reviewing the NDF and eNDF levels of common feedstuffs reveals why many “hay replacer” rations contain large percentages of cottonseed hulls. Cottonseed hulls are an excellent roughage and effective fiber source for beef cattle diets.

Hay Replacer Diets

Hay replacer diets are formulated with high levels of fiber (roughage) to make up for forage shortfalls. The example hay replacer diets listed on the next page are intended for mature cattle. Growing cattle require different dietary nutrient levels from mature cattle. The ingredients listed in these hay replacers are not the only feedstuffs that can be used in these types of diets.

Many high-fiber feedstuffs are very bulky, so space requirements for storage along with feedstuff handling requirements should be factored into roughage source selection decisions. Cottonseed hulls are generally considered an excellent roughage source for cattle diets because of their excellent palatability and good intake results.

Cotton gin trash and cotton gin mote are other examples of high-roughage feedstuffs available in Mississippi that can be used as effective fiber sources and hay replacers. Cotton gin mote typically contains fewer intact cotton stalks than cotton gin trash and provides less long staple fiber than either cottonseed hulls or cotton gin trash.

Table 5. Hay replacer diet alternatives for mature beef cattle (pounds per ton).

Ingredient	Diet 1	Diet 2	Diet 3	Diet 4	Diet 5
Corn		730		325	
Cottonseed hulls	889	952	548	1300	700
Cottonseed meal		295	152	150	100
Soybean hulls			1283		
Corn gluten feed	1089				
Oats					1180
Cane molasses				175	
Limestone	13	14	6		
Dicalcium phosphate			2	10	
Urea				20	
Trace mineral salt ¹	9	9	9	20	20

¹A Vitamin ADE premix should be included in the diet.

Corn stalks (also referred to as corn stubble or corn stover) are a roughage option some beef cattle producers use, particularly when corn crop residues are widely available and other roughage sources are expensive or in short supply. Be sure to monitor nitrate levels when including corn stalks in the nutritional program. Corn stalks are a low-quality feedstuff and should be supplemented in almost all feeding situations. Corn gluten feed and limestone or corn and soybean meal are examples of feed ingredient combinations that can be supplemented with corn stalks successfully. Use the nutrient analysis results from forage testing corn stalks to determine the specific feedstuff levels needed to balance the diet.

Exercise extreme caution when including rice hulls or peanut hulls as roughage sources in beef cattle diets. These feedstuffs have relatively little nutritional value for beef cattle and can cause digestive tract disruptions when fed at moderate to high levels. Never feed crushed or ground peanut hulls to cattle.

Planning ahead to acquire adequate roughage supplies can provide cattle producers with more nutrition program options when feed or forage supplies become limited or more expensive. Producers should consider effective fiber needs when deciding on appropriate fiber substitutes for hay replacement programs. For more information on fiber in beef cattle diets, contact your [local MSU Extension office](#).

References

Ball, D. M., Hoveland, C. S., & Lacefield, G. D. (2007). *Southern forages* (4th ed.). Potash and Phosphate Institute and Foundation for Agronomic Research.

National Research Council. (2000). *Nutrient requirements of beef cattle* (7th rev. ed., 1996: Update 2000). National Academy Press.

Trenkle, A. (2002). Formulating beef cattle rations for fiber and starch to optimize animal health and productivity. In *Intermountain Nutrition Conference Proceedings*. Utah State University.

Notes

Notes

Publication 2489 (POD-10-25)

Reviewed by **Brandi Karisch**, PhD, Associate Extension/Research Professor, Animal and Dairy Sciences. Written by **Jane A. Parish**, PhD, Professor and Head, North Mississippi Research and Extension Center; and Justin D. Rhinehart, PhD, former Assistant Extension Professor, Animal and Dairy Sciences.



Copyright 2025 by Mississippi State University. All rights reserved. This publication may be copied and distributed without alteration for nonprofit educational purposes provided that credit is given to the Mississippi State University Extension Service.

Produced by Agricultural Communications.

Mississippi State University is an equal opportunity institution. Discrimination is prohibited in university employment, programs, or activities based on race, color, ethnicity, sex, pregnancy, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, genetic information, status as a U.S. veteran, or any other status to the extent protected by applicable law. Questions about equal opportunity programs or compliance should be directed to the [Office of Civil Rights Compliance](#), 231 Famous Maroon Band Street, P.O. 6044, Mississippi State, MS 39762.

Extension Service of Mississippi State University, cooperating with U.S. Department of Agriculture. Published in furtherance of Acts of Congress, May 8 and June 30, 1914. ANGUS L. CATCHOT JR., Director