

# **College of Forest Resources Extension Forestry**

# The Overstory MSU Forestry Extension Newsletter

Volume 5, Issue 1, March 2016

### **INSIDE THIS ISSUE**

Feature Article: Raking in the Profits

Inside Articles: Southern Pine Beetle Myth or Reality

Mississippi Timber Price Report

Delta Hardwood Notes Problems with Management of Hardwood Plantations (Part 3)

> **Upcoming Events** April & May 2016

Editors Iason Gordon Linda W. Garnett

Send comments to: Jason Gordon Extension Specialist (662) 325-8851 jg966@msstate.edu





#### **Feature Article**

#### **Raking in the Profits**

By John Willis, Extension Specialist

business. Sawtimber prices have declined often. sharply over the past decade, while the supply of sawtimber has steadily increased. potential This unfortunate combination of factors has harvesting pine straw causes the stand to landowners looking left manv alternative sources of income.

of pine trees may be an excellent Harvesting every third year or harvesting opportunity to generate additional income. early in the peak needle-fall season Since the 1980s, landscaping companies (September-November) are other options have increasingly used pine straw as mulch. for Pine straw has become desirable because of Intense vegetation management is another its longevity, attractive lightness of weight, positive influence on kept clear of hardwoods, shrubs, and soil moisture and fertility, and its ability to herbaceous vegetation to produce clean suppress weeds.

pine straw. Straw rakers will often offer development of unwanted vegetation. Such premium prices for longleaf straw compared intensive management requires investment to loblolly or slash. Straw cleanliness is also of time and money. a major factor. Lower prices are offered for containing twigs, straw herbaceous vegetation, or hardwood litter. South. Whether pine straw raking is right Topography is another factor that can for influence price. As a general rule, the less characteristics slope a stand has, the more likely a straw objectives. For more information on pine raker will want to harvest needles in the straw stand. Stands located near large cities also Mississippi State University Forestry typically receive higher bids for their straw Extension or your County Extension office. because transportation costs between stands and markets are lower than straw harvested in rural places.

For pine tree owners, another desirable aspect of straw management is its compatibility with timber management. Due to their uniform spacing, pine plantations make deal straw production sites. Peak straw production occurs between crown closure and the first thinning. Stands will

typicallyproduce between 120 to 180 bales (26 inch x 13 inch x 14 inch dry bale) of straw per acre. Higher quality sites will Times are tough in the pine tree growing produce more straw and can be raked more

Raking straw does, however, have some drawbacks. For example. for lose key nutrients. To mitigate nutrient losses, landowners can replenish nutrient Harvesting the freshly fallen pine needles supplies by periodically applying fertilizer. mitigating nutrient loss appearance, drawback. Plantation understories must be straw. This activity requires frequent use of Several factors contribute to the price of herbicides and prescribed fire to prevent the

> Pine straw raking has become an branches, increasingly important forest product in the you depends on vour stand and vour ownership management please contact



"When one tugs at a single thing in nature, he finds it attached to the rest of the world." — John Muir



Pine StrawRaking - New Important Alternative (Photo: eessmextension.tamu.edu)



Round Bales of Pine Straw Ready for Loading (Photo: aces.edu)



Longleaf Straw (Photo: pjwetzel.com)



Bailing Pine Straw Long Leaf Silvopasture (Photo:srs.fs.usda.gov)



Final Destination: Landscaping (Photo: pic2fly.com)

## The Overstory

#### "Southern Pine Beetle Myth or Reality"

By Cody Rainer, Extension Associate

Page 2

The lack of Southern Pine Beetle (SPB) activity on private land over the past 15 years in Mississippi has potentially led to a false sense of security. Combined with low prices for pine pulpwood, it has led some individuals make poor decisions regarding the timing of pine stand thinning. Landowners and foresters continue to push the first thinning farther along in the rotation with the hope that the market will improve, or they overlook the fact that delayed thinning creates desirable habitat for SPB.

Even after 100 years of trapping and monitoring populations, scientist have trouble predicting SPB epidemics. The earliest known SPB outbreak was documented in 1842, and outbreaks have occurred at 6 to 10 year intervals ever since. If you talk to anyone who has been around forestry for any length of time in Mississippi, they may reminisce about troubled times of SPB damage and the multitude of acres of timber loss. However, many good, healthy years has led to a kind of complacency. Yet SPB is a native insect and is still out in those woods. For example, in 2013, 107 spots were reported in the Tombigbee National Forest. SPB was also captured in more counties in 2015 than in previous years, but only 463 beetles were actually captured within the state traps. The threat is real, and managers should make decisions accordingly. (See MFC Forest Health Note Technical Bulletins for specific population parameters).

Landowners should take advantage of the currently low SPB populations to thin their timber stand if needed. Mississippi State University in collaboration with the Mississippi Forestry Commission has developed a Southern Pine Beetle Prevention Program. This program is a cost share program that provides landowners with a monetary incentive to conduct first thinning. If you are interested in the program or just want to learn more, please contact 662-226-6000.



8

3





201



mber-Mart South (TMS), Inc. has more detailed data available by subscription that contains values for other timber products not included in this report. TMS is compiled and produced at the Center for forest isiness, Warnell School of Forest Resources, University of Georgia, under contract with the Frank w. Norris Foundation, a non-profit corporation serving the forest products industry. See http://WWW.TMART-SOUTH.COM/ for information on subscriptions.

We are an equal opportunity employer, and all qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability status, protected veteran status or any other characteristic protected by law.

Please don't forget to check out our Blog at www.blogs.msucares.com/forestry and sign up for email notices!

# **Delta Hardwood Notes**

By Brady Self, Extension Specialist

# Problems with Management of Hardwood Plantations (Part 3)

In the last two editions of Delta Hardwood Notes, we discussed how early planting practices have resulted in low quality stems in hardwood plantations currently growing across the state. However, I did not discuss the predominance of Nuttall oak in these plantations and the increased frequency of long-lasting, defect-causing branches inherent to the species.

Oaks in hardwood plantations usually outgrow their naturally regenerated counterparts due to the low planting densities utilized in establishment. This factor will present a new scenario for timber buyers, sawmills, and lumber graders in the future. Increased early growth of oak stems with persistent limbs results in a much larger inner core with correspondingly higher frequencies of limb defects. We do not know the severity the impact of this increase will be on future wood value; however, based on current lumber grading rules, and subsequent pricing, owners of hardwood plantations are likely to see vast reductions in stumpage value resulting from lower log grades.

When discussing hardwood plantations, silviculturists have expressed a variety of ideas regarding the length of time it would take for trees in these plantations to self-prune. Outside of obvious species differences in pruning patterns (e.g. cherrybark oak possess smaller branches with a more favorable branch angle and self-prunes much more quickly than Nuttall oak), the general consensus is that lower branches on many stems in these plantations would persist for several decades, resulting in logs that would probably never reach grades greater than pulpwood. However, as these stands reach canopy closure, some are exceeding self-pruning expectations and are likely to reach lower-grade sawlog status before the end of rotation. Make sure to check the next installment of The Overstory for the conclusion of this series.



19-year-old Nuttall oak exhibiting persistent branches



21-year-old Nuttall oak undergoing significant amounts of self pruning. Note shed branches around the base of trunk



**Photos: Brady Self** 

# **UPCOMING EVENTS - APRIL & MAY 2016**

04/02/2016:	2016 Mississippi Bioblitz, Jackson, MS Mississippi Museum of Natural Science 2148 Riverside Drive Jackson, MS Click HERE for brochure
04/07/2016:	Forest Growth & Yeild/ Financial Analysis, Short Course, 4/7/16 Itawamba Community College, Fulton, MS Click HERE for brochure
04/14/2016:	Workshop: Are My Pine Trees Ready to Thin Again, Ripley, MS, 4/14/16 Click HERE for brochure
04/16/2016:	2016 Mississippi BioBlitz, Tupelo, MS, 4/16/16 Natchez Trace Parkway Visitor Center Click HERE for brochure
05/03/2016:	Workshop: Tree Identification, New Albany, MS, 5/3/16, 8:30 AM – 1 PM Union County Extension Office Click HERE for brochure
05/05/2016:	Workshop: Tree Identification, Iuka, MS, 5/5/16, 8:30 AM – 1 PM Tishomingo County Extension Office Click HERE for brochure

05/17/2016: Workshop: Understanding Global Positioning System (GPS), Batesville, MS, Cliff Finch Office Building --- Click HERE for brochure

**NOTE:** While MSU Extension is in the process of implementing a new website, there may be some connection problems with links to the brochures above and on MSUCares --- be patient, and try again a little later.

#### **Other Locations for Forestry Extension Information**

MSUCares.com (Forestry-Forest Products/events) www.facebook.com/MississippiStateUniversityExtensionForestry www.blogs.msucares.com/forestry, OR, ..... twitter.com/MSUExtForestry

Primary Business Address Mississippi State University Forestry Extension P. O. Box 9681 775 Stone Blvd Mississippi State, MS 39762

Contact: Lisa McReynolds lcf30@msstate.edu 662-325-3150 Fax:662-325-0027



We are an equal opportunity employer, and all qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability status, protected veteran status, or any other characteristic

protected by law.

#### Area Specialists:

NE District: Dr. John Kushla 662-566-2201, or jk182@msstate.edu

NW District: Dr. Brady Self 662-226-6000, or abs3@msstate.edu

Cody Rainer, 662-226-6000, jcr269@msstate.edu

SW District: Dr. Stephen Dicke 601-857-2284, or sgd2@msstate.edu

SE District: Dr. Glenn Hughes 601-794-0671, or hgh2@msstate.edu

Don Bales, 601-794-0671, or gdb5@msstate.edu,

Butch Bailey, 601-794-0671, or asb1@msstate.edu,

State Specialists:

Hardwood Silviculture: Dr. Randy Rousseau 662-325-2777, or rjr84@msstate.edu

Economics & Taxes: Dr. James Henderson 662-325-0754, or jeh149@msState.edu

Restoration Silviculture: Dr. John Willis 662-325-0523, or jw2905@msstate.edu



**Community Forestry:** Dr. Jason Gordon 662-325-8851, or jg966@msstate.edu

Logger's Education: Mr. John Auel 662-325-7948, or jba9@msstate.edu

Media: Linda Garnett 662-325-8355 or, lwg1@msstate.edu

Impact Assessments & Certifications: Mark Measells 662-325-3550, or mkm2@msstate.edu

**MSU-ES Region Map** 

# Image: series of the series